



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,827	12/19/2003	Robert N. Phelps	2003P14534US	6172
7590	05/12/2008		EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			LAMPRECHT, JOEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/741,827	PHELPS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOEL M. LAMPRECHT	3737	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/22/08 has been entered.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is longer than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On pages 1, 8, and 17 of the specification, the blank spaces should be replaced with the appropriate patent number, and on page 17 of the specification, the attorney docket number should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claim 1, line 9, "separate from an imaging system" is confusing due to the fact that an imaging system can include all the components thereof including the connections. Regarding claims 4, 6, 7, and 9, "the transducer array" lacks antecedent basis. Regarding claim 24, "the transducer cables" lack antecedent basis, and appears to be

further defining a structural element that has not been previously claimed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 11, 12, and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leavitt et al (US 6,491,634 B1). Leavitt et al disclose an ultrasound probe for removable connection with an imaging system including a releasable connection to the transducer and an analog-to-digital converter (Figure 1-5, Col 2 Line 50-Col 4 Line 58) connected between the ultrasound transducer and the connection which is separate from the imaging system housing (Figure 2, Col 3 Line 60-Col 5 Line 14). The ultrasound transducer has multiple elements and multiple analog to digital converters connected to the transducer elements, and the probe has a digital processor for partial beamforming connected between the analog to digital converter and the outputs (Col 3 Line 60-Col 5 Line 14), a multiplexer for signal consolidation within the sub-beamformer (Figure 5), and a bypass system for transmitting analog signals to the main processing unit via the use of analog beamforming and a T/R switch (Col 3 Line 60-Col 4 Line 20, Col 10 Line 65-Col 11 Line 15). Leavitt et al also disclose the use of serialization components for creating binary stores of data (Col 6 Line 55-Col 7 Line 8, Col 7 Line 30- Col 9 Line 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 10, 13, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leavitt et al (US 6,491,634 B1) in view of Pflugrath et al (US 6,102,863). Leavitt et al discloses all that is listed above, but fails to disclose the use of a demultiplexing unit for demuxing the data acquired by the transducer elements. Attention is then directed to the secondary reference by Pflugrath et al which discloses the use of such a unit in a hand-held portable ultrasound unit (Figure 1-3, 5, Col 3 Line 31-Col 4 Line 65) including a multiplexer in the transducer probe and a demuxing unit also contained within the transducer/connector housing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the demuxing

units described by Pflugrath et al in the system and methods of Leavitt et al for the purpose of splitting the digital signal back into separate elements for processing by the main processing unit.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leavitt et al. Leavitt et al discloses all that is listed above, but fails to mention the use of cables with constant length and the same impedance. It has been held as Official Notice and has not been addressed by Applicant that such a selection of cables would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOEL M. LAMPRECHT whose telephone number is (571)272-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ruth S. Smith/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3737

JML